





UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/523,639	03/10/2000	Soumen Chakrabarti	AM9-98-128	,5100
7590 07/08/2004			EXAMINER	
John L Rogitz			NGUYEN,	CHAU T
Rogitz & Associates			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
750 B Street Suite 3120 San Diego, CA 92101			2176	12
			DATE MAILED: 07/08/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/523,639	CHAKRABARTI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Chau Nguyen	2176			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with t	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a recommendation of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status and reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail tearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS ute, cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed 0) days will be considered timely. 6 from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16	<u>April 2004</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th					
,					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-16 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Appliority documents have been received in Appliority documents have been received.	lication No ceived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		fail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

1. In view of the Appeal Brief filed on 04/16/2004, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. A new ground of rejection is set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
 - (2) request reinstatement of the appeal.

If reinstatement of the appeal is requested, such request must be accompanied by a supplemental appeal brief, but no new amendments, affidavits (37 CFR 1.130, 1.131 or 1.132) or other evidence are permitted. See 37 CFR 1.193(b)(2).

2. Claims 1-7 and 9-16 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the

Art Unit: 2176

prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 4. Claims 1-4, 7, 9-10, 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adar et al. (Adar), US Patent No. 6,493,702, and further in view of Jammes et al. (Jammes), US Patent No. 6,484,149.
- 5. As to claims 1, 7, 13, and 15-16, Adar discloses a computer system, comprising:

at least one user computer (col. 10, lines 8-53 and Figs. 9-10);

a data input device associated with the user computer (col. 10, lines 1-53);

a Web server communicating with the user computer (col. 5, line 38 – col.

4, line 19);

server logic means at the Web server for generating a table of inlinks to at least on Web page associated with the Web server (col. 5, line 58 – col. 6, line 19, col. 7, lines 1-19, and col. 10, lines 8-53: bookmark server in database 120 tracks availability and newness for each bookmark in the background and creates a list of bookmarks 212 (table of inlinks), and each bookmark or a link is linked to a website)

user logic means at the user computer for accessing the table of inlinks (col. 10, lines 8-53: the browser at the user's system received bookmark list from the bookmark server);

Art Unit: 2176

However, Adar does not explicitly disclose generating a list of sibling links based on the table, each sibling link being an outlink of one of the inlinks in the table, for accessing the sibling links. Jammes discloses a list of links includes several hyperlinks such as "automative", "computer", and "clothing", and when a user selects a hyperlink, for example "automative" hyperlink, html text file according to "automative" link is interpreted by the Web browser to generate a Web page that contains three hyperlinks (sibling links or outlinks), and the user can also continue to access any one of these three hyperlinks (sibling links) (co. 45, line 17 – col. 47, line 67 and Figs. 17, 18 and 19). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Jammes and Adar to include generating a list of sibling links based on the table, each sibling link being an outlink of one of the inlinks in the table, for accessing the sibling links. Jammes suggests that organizing web pages presented to each customer is customized according to recorded shopping or searching habits of the particular consumer to make the on-line shopping/searching experience more convenient and expedient as well as more pleasant.

6. As to claims 2 and 9, Adar and Jammes (Adar-Jammes) disclose wherein the user logic means includes means for downloading the table from the Web server to the user computer (Adar, Fig. 10)

Art Unit: 2176

7. As to claims 3, 10, and 14, Adar-Jammes disclose wherein the user logic means includes: means for downloading the table from the Web server to the user computer (Adar, Fig. 10 and col. 10, line 8 – col. 11, line 16); and

means for automatically accessing the inlinks to search the inlinks for predetermined information (Adar, col. 8, lines 25-40 and Figs. 4 and 10).

- 8. As to claim 4, Adar-Jammes disclose a data storage device for storing at least portions of the table (Adar, Fig. 10 and col. 10, line 8 col. 11, line 16).
- 9. Claims 5-6 and 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adar et al. (Adar), US Patent No. 6,493,702, Jammes et al. (Jammes), US Patent No. 6,484,149, and further in view of Khan, US Patent No. 6,546,393.
- 10. As to claims 5 and 11, Adar-Jammes disclose the limitations as discussed in claims 1-4, 7, 9-10, 13-16 above. However, Adar-Jammes do not explicitly disclose means at the Web server for pruning inlinks in the table in response to at least one preselected criterium. Khan discloses bookmarks (table of inlinks) may be displayed on each page by a default of approximately 250 bookmarks, or a user can change the number of bookmarks that want displayed on each page by setting user options (col. 15, lines 13-22). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Khan and Adar-Jammes to include pruning inlinks in the table in

Art Unit: 2176

response to at least one preselected criterium to allow users unlimited bookmarks and to present them more efficiently.

11. As to claims 6 and 12, Adar-Jammes and Khan disclose wherein the preselected criterium is based at least in part on a number of selections of each inlink (Khan, col. 15, lines 13-22: for allowing users unlimited bookmarks and to present them more efficiently).

Response to Arguments

12. Applicant's arguments, see Appeal Brief, filed April 16, 2004, with respect to the rejection(s)of claim(s) 1-7 and 9-16 under Lee et al. in view of Bezos have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made over Adar et al. (Adar), US Patent No. 6,493,702, Jammes et al. (Jammes), US Patent No. 6,484,149, and further in view of Khan, US Patent No. 6,546,393.

Art Unit: 2176

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chau Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-4639. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Joseph Feild, can be reached at (703) 305-9792.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application is assigned are as follows:

(703) 872-9306 (After Final Communications only)

(703) 872-9306 (Official Communications)

(703) 746-7240 (for Official Status Inquiries, Draft Communications only)

Inquiries of a general nature relating to the general status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the 2100 Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Chau Nguyen Patent Examiner Art Unit 2176

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER